

THE VALUE OF AN EMERGENCY ROOM (ER) AFIB TREATMENT PATHWAY

Optimizing an ER AFib pathway to streamline atrial fibrillation (AFib) care can reduce diagnosis to ablation time (DAT), unnecessary hospitalizations and potentially minimizes morbidity and mortality among patients.¹

Patients who have longer DAT experience higher rates of transient ischemic attacks (TIA), stroke and mortality, as compared to patients who receive ablation earlier.



LOWER RATE OF TIA/

CVA EVENTS WITH SHORTER DAT



UP TO 52% LOWER RATE OF MORTALITY WITH SHORTER DAT

Patients receiving an ablation with a shorter DAT had a **60% lower rate of TIA/CVA events** compared to patients with a longer DAT.*2

*Relative reduction from the comparison of 244 patients with DAT of ≤ 11 months versus 250 patients with a DAT of \geq 71 months at 5-year follow-up (p<0.001)

When catheter ablation was performed earlier, a 52% lower mortality rate was seen within one year post-ablation, compared to patients with delayed catheter ablation.*3

*Relative reduction from the comparison of 1152 patients with a DAT of 1-6 months versus 1201 patients with a DAT of >5 years at a mean follow-up for 3.2 years (p=0.001)

The implementation of an ER AFib pathway is associated with a shorter DAT and time to EP evaluation, which may result in lower hospital admissions and healthcare utilization.



SHORTER TIME TO **EP EVALUATION**

70% DECREASE IN TIME TO ABLATION





DECREASE IN AFIB-RELATED INPATIENT **ADMISSIONS AND ER VISITS**

Results from a multi-center, prospective, observational study found that time to EP evaluation was 1-day for those with an ER AFib pathway versus 128 days for those without.*1

*Time to first EP evaluation median 1 day (range 1-24 days) versus median 128 days (range 30-340 days) (p=0.001)

Time to ablation was reduced by up to 70% with an ER AFib pathway based on results from a multi-center, prospective, observational study.*1

*Time to ablation in ER2EP (N =200) versus control group (N =200) was 52.8 days versus 180.6 days (p<0.001)

A retrospective study including 3,077 patients found that healthcare utilization significantly decreased 12-months post-ablation among persistent AFib patients. This included a 55% decrease in AFib-related inpatient admissions and a 52% decrease in ER visits.*4

*Statistically significant, p<0.0001

An ER AFib pathway may help reduce unnecessary hospitalizations for patients.



59% DECREASE IN LENGTH OF HOSPITAL STAY

A multi-center, prospective, observational study found that the length of hospital stay for patients at a facility with an ER AFib pathway was 3.49 days shorter.*1

*Length of hospital stay was 2.35 days in the ER2EP group (N =200), versus 5.84 days in the control group (N =200) (p<0.001)



3.7 FOLD REDUCTION IN ADMISSION RATE

Results from a prospective study found that **admission** rates decreased by 3.7-fold* and average length of hospital stay was reduced by 1.6-fold* for patients in a facility with an ER AFib pathway.⁵

*Admission rates were 15% with AFib pathway versus 55% without (p<0.001)
†Length of stay was 64 hours with AFib pathway versus 105 hours without (p=0.01)



24% INCREASE IN ER DISCHARGE

Evidence shows that with the implementation of an ER AFib pathway, same day ER discharge rates increased from 19% to 43%.*6

*Statistically significant, p<0.001

AFib is the most common type of primary arrhythmia presenting to ERs, which may cause a burden on the facility.⁷









> 460K HOSPITALIZATIONS
OCCUR EACH YEAR WITH AFIB AS THE
PRIMARY DIAGNOSIS

Refereneces

- 1. Lakkireddy D, Ahmed A, Garg J, et al. Clinical and economic impact of an organized treatment pathway on atrial fibrillation patient from the emergency room to electrophysiology service (ER2EP Study). Presented at Heart Rhythm Society Scientific Sessions, San Francisco, CA. 2022.
- 2. De Greef, Y., et al. Diagnosis-to-ablation time as a predictor for success: Early choice for pulmonary vein isolation and long-term outcome in atrial fibrillation: Results from the Middelheim-PVI Registry. Europace (2018), 20(4):589-595.
- 3. Bunch, T.J., et al. Increasing time between first diagnosis of atrial fibrillation and catheter ablation adversely affects long-term outcomes. Hear Rhythm (2013), 10(9): 1257-1262.
- 4. Doshi, A., et al. Catheter ablation using advanced porous tip contact force-sensing radiofrequency catheter: Impact on health care utilization among patients with persistent atrial fibrillation. Heart Rhythm O2. 2022 Jul 21;3(5):474-481.
- 5. Ptaszek, L.M., et al. Impact of a Multidisciplinary Treatment Pathway for Atrial Fibrillation in the Emergency Department on Hospital Admissions and Length of Stay: Results of a Multi-Center Study. J Am Heart Assoc. 2019 Sep 17;8(18):e012656.
- 6. Gehi, A.K., et al. Novel care pathway for patients presenting to the emergency department with atrial fibrillation. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes (2018).
- 7. January C.T., et al. AHA/ACC/HRS guideline for the management of patients with atrial fibrillation: a report of the American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines and the Heart Rhythm Society. J Am Coll Cardiol (2014), 64: 1-76.
- 8. Rozen, G., et al. Emergency Department visits for AFib in the United States. Trends in Admissions rates and the Economic Burden From 2007 to 2014. J Am Heart Assoc. 2018 Jul 20;(15):e009024.
- 9. Heart Rhythm Society Atrial Fibrillation Fact Sheet. (2017).

Scan the QR code for additional resources:



